TagItSmart!

Smart Tags driven service platform for enabling ecosystems of connected objects

Grant agreement 688061

Guidelines for applicants

Grant Agreement number:	688061
Project acronym:	TagItSmart!
Project title:	Smart Tags driven service platform for enabling ecosystems of connected objects
Project website address:	http://tagitsmart.eu/
Start Date of the Project:	1 January 2016
Duration:	36 Months

1 Introduction

The consortium members managing the Integrated Project TagItSmart! funded by the H2020 Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014-2020), have reserved a portion of the project budget for specific tasks to be carried out by new third parties. These third parties are selected by means of competitive open calls.

This Guide for applicants contains the basic information needed to instruct prospective applicants in preparing and submitting proposals to participate in the existing TagItSmart! project, which is now launching its 3nd Open Call for the selection of third parties.

The Guide gives instructions on how to structure the project proposal, explains how the proposal should be submitted and describes the criteria against which it will be evaluated.

Conditions for participation and funding are those of the H2020 Framework Programme for Research and Innovation, as defined principally in Regulation (EC) No 1290/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2013 laying down the rules for the participation of undertakings, research centres and universities in actions under the H2020 ICT Programme and for the dissemination of research results (2014-2020). The document can be downloaded from the following link:

https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/legal_basis/rules_participation/h2020-rules-participation_en.pdf

This Guide for applicants does not supersede the rules and conditions laid out, in particular, in the Council and Parliament Decisions relevant to the H2020 Framework Programme.

2   Scope and content of the proposals

All details about the scope and content of the proposals are described in detail in the Open call section on www.tagitsmart.eu. Under this respect, prospective applicants should read very carefully the Call Announcement, which contains all content-related information, including tasks and obligations of third parties. The Open Call Announcement describes the key eligibility criteria of the call, such as the different project types envisaged, the advised project duration in months and the maximum recommended contribution.

3 Funding of participation

Participation as a third party in an H2020 project will cover all the incurred costs. However, for further information on this specific issue please refer to the "Rules for Participation Legal & Financial Issues", which can be found at the following link:

http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/grants_manual/amga/h2020-amga_en.pdf

4 Eligible third parties and expected number of participants per proposal

Eligible third parties of the TagItSmart! open calls programme includes the following:

- Single European mid-caps, SMEs and Micro SMEs as defined in EU law: EU recommendation 2003/361,

- Web entrepreneurs and individual sole-traders;

- European secondary and higher education establishments, research institutes and other not-for-profit research organizations;

- Standards bodies such as ETSI, IETF, ITU, IEEE and W3C, BSI

Each of these must be established in a EU Member State, in an Associated Country or in a country that contributes substantially to the financing of TagItSmart! research project. To avoid conflicts of interest, applications will not be accepted from persons or organizations who are partners in the TagItSmart! consortium or who are formally linked in any way to partners of the consortium. All applicants will be required to declare that they know of no such potential conflicts of interest that would prevent them from applying. Because successful applicants will not become party to theTagItSmart! Grant Agreement, there will be no need for applicants to exhibit possession of a PIC number.

Full details of the Commission's funding arrangements can be found at:

http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/grants_manual/amga/h2020-amga_en.pdf

In consideration of the funding available and the objectives of the call, we encourage focused proposals submitted by a single institution. However, for particular reasons, that must be explained and justified, a proposal may involve more institutions for the Type A project: New usecases (Pilots); but for administrative reasons one institution will lead and subcontract rest of the partners. In this case, the sharing of responsibilities, activities and resources should be made very clear in the project proposal.

Current third parties in the TagItSmart! project may not respond to this call.

5 Dissemination and engagement activities to be included in the proposals

Below a complete list of the main dissemination tasks to be undertaken by new third parties selected through the TagItSmart! competitive calls. This list is non-exhaustive and other dissemination activities can be proposed by prospective applicants.

A. Participation in TagItSmart! dissemination and training events. This will allow project to support maintenance of developed components.

B. Presentation of the funded project's results at events organised by the European Commission and by consortia of related projects, as well as in occasion of any other relevant events in the fields touched by TagItSmart!. Please refers to the following web sites for more and up-to-date info: *https://www.ict-fire.eu/upcoming-events/*

C. Preparation of videos, posters and interactive demos.

D. Publication of press releases.

E. Contribution to enlarging resources repository and open source TagItSmart! repositories.

6 How to prepare and submit a proposal

Proposals should be prepared using the proposal template provided in this Guide.

6.1 Submission of proposals

Proposals for selection as additional third parties in the TagItSmart! project are submitted in a single stage (proposals are comprised of two documents: Part A and Part B), through the preparation of a complete proposal application, prepared according to guidelines and templates provided in the Guide for Applicants. Evaluation criteria is also given in the Guidelines applicants documents.

Duration of expected projects lies between 5 and 9 months. The different type of projects selected will have the following maximum expected duration:

- Category A:New extension usecases (Pilots) up to 9 Months.

- Category B: New extensions (Modules) up to 5 Months.

Details about the maximum contribution recommended for each of the project types encompassed by the call are:

- Category A: 60K€ per third parties.

- Category B: 50K€ per third parties.

Call publication on *http://www.tagitsmart.eu/opencall*

17:00 CET 23rd of December, 2017

Deadline for submission of proposals (by sending it to *ecosystem@tagitsmart.eu*)

17:00 CET 31st of January, 2018

Notification of selected applicants:

15th of February, 2018

Projects start:

April, 2018

For further information on the call:

Web site (full call text, guidelines, project details): *http://www.tagitsmart.eu/opencall*

Email address for further information: *helpdesk@tagitsmart.eu*

6.2 Proposal language

The proposal must be prepared in the working language of the TagItSmart! project, which is English. Proposals submitted in any other language will not be evaluated.

6.3 Acknowledge of receipt

A receipt of a successfully submitted proposal will be issued to the email address used at the time of proposal submission. The same email address should be provided in Form A.

The sending of an Acknowledgement of receipt does not imply that the proposal has been accepted as eligible for evaluation.

6.4 Proposals evaluation and selection

The proposal evaluation will start immediately after the closing of the call (for further information see the timetable provided at the end of this section). The proposals received will be evaluated in the light of the criteria that governed the European Commission's original evaluation and selection of the TagItSmart! project.

Projects must align with one or more of the supported activity categories defined. Projects must demonstrate a clear set of objectives aligned with the definition of the TagItSmart! open call and with the general objectives of the ICT-30-2015 RIA. Proposals must define a clear set of deliverables aligned with the objectives of the open call and the specific category to which the proposal relates. Proposals must also include a clear budget, detailing the overall project cost, the amount of funding requested and how it will be spent. This budget must represent good value for money in the opinion of the evaluation panel selected to evaluate the open call applications. Applicants must provide credible evidence that the project delivery team have the necessary skills and management experience to be able to deliver the project in the timescales and budget specified

The evaluation will be carried out by external evaluators. All proposals will be evaluated with the assistance of experts who are independent of any member of the consortium and of any proposer. The experts will be individuals from the fields of science, industry and/or with experience in the field of standardization and innovation, with the highest level of knowledge and internationally recognized in the relevant specialist area.

Each independent expert will record his/her individual opinion by using the form provided in this Guide. They will then remotely meet or communicate together to prepare a Consensus Form for each proposal.

Scoring is on a scale of 1-5 using the following criteria for *Category A: New usecases (Pilots) up to 9 Months*:

- **Feasibility**, can it be done in time, with the proposed partners and deliver results?

- **Extendibility**, to what extent does it enrich the TagItSmart ecosystem?If it is in a similar application domain of the existing use cases, does it offer substantial additional features?

- **Business models**, to what extent does it facilitate new business models in and across partners in an ecosystem?

- **Innovation and Impact**, to what extent is there an impact through scientific and domain specific magazines, standard bodies, alliances? To what extent does it relate to the Circular Economy Strategy of the EU (*http://ec.europa.eu/environment/circular-economy/index_en.htm*)?

Scoring is on a scale of 1-5 using the followingcriteria for *Category B:New extensions (Modules) up to 5 Months:*

- **Feasibility**, can it be done in time, with the proposed partners and deliver results?

- **Extendibility**, to what extent does it enrich the TagItSmart ecosystem? To what extent does it offer substantial additional features to the existing modules in its domain? If it is in a similar application domain of the existing modules, does it offer substantial additional features?

- **Innovation and Impact**, to what extent is there an impact through scientific and domain specific specialized domain specific magazines, standard bodies, alliances?

The selection will be based on the evaluation performed on each proposal by the selection panel. However, the TagItSmart! project is not obliged to select the highest-scoring proposal whenever it has objective grounds not to do so (for example due to commercial competition) or it is overlapping with existing use cases, components or modules. In this case the choice may pass on to the next- ranked proposal. Furthermore, the evaluation panel might determine a priority order for proposals which have been awarded the same score or a very similar one in order to ensure a good balance of selected proposal according to the allocated budget per each type.

Representatives of projects considered eligible for funding may be invited for an interview to present their project to the evaluation panel.

In addition, the TagItSmart! project may conclude that even the highest-scoring proposal is of inadequate quality, in which case it will make no selection. In the event of no selection being made, the project may or may not re-open the call at a later date.

The selected proposal(s) will be provided with feedback and recommendation. The proposed recommendations aim to:

a) clarify any budgetary problematic issues identified during the evaluation (if any). This could eventually imply also a budget reduction.

b) revise the contents of the project (and in particular of its work-packages) in order to

make them consistent with the work to be performed in the framework of the TagItSmart! project. Under this respect, please be aware that recommendation might requiremodifying or reducing the number of WPs/tasks, following the proposal evaluation by the experts, or following other needs and criteria put forward by the Commission services.

Depending on the size and nature of the project, meetings between the potential selected projects, the TagItSmart! consortium and/or the European Commission may or may not be required.

Based on the results of the evaluation, the TagItSmart! Consortium will officially approve the selection of the new third parties. Upon reception of approval, the new selected third parties will be notified and external project will start.

As indicated previously, the indicative timetable for the TagItSmart! Open Call is as follows:

Call publication	23rd of December, 2017
Deadline for submission of proposals	31st of January, 2018
Notification of selected applicants	15th of February, 2018
Projects start	April, 2018

6.5 Support to proposers

For further information on the Open call, please contact the TagItSmart! team:

helpdesk@tagitsmart.eu

For further information on the TagItSmart! Project visit our website:

http://www.tagitsmart.eu/opencall

There will be periodic clinics and information moments during the Open Call. To be informed about these activities, please visit TagItSmart! Website (*http://www.tagitsmart.eu*) or join our LinkedInproject Group (*https://www.linkedin.com/groups/8486104*)where announcements will be made.

We will also provide Telco's on request with project partners. Please ask for it by sending an email to *ecosystem@tagitsmart.eu*.

6.6 IPR Management

According to the provisions of the H2020 Grant Agreement, Intellectual Property Rights belong only to partners, who have indeed generated it. Consequently, additional project third parties have the right to access and use project results already generated by core partners before their accession to the contract only for the activities related to the sub-project; furthermore additional project third parties must also ensure confidentiality on information related to the sub-project results generated by core partners.

6.7 IPR Helpdesk

The IPR-Helpdesk has as its main objective to assist potential and current third parties taking part in EU funded projects on Intellectual Property Rights issues, and in particular on EU diffusion and protection rules and issues relating to IPR in international projects. Detailed information and contacts are available at *http://www.ipr-helpdesk.org*.

6.8 Submission checklist

✓ **Does the planned work fit in the call for proposals?** Check that your proposed workplan follows the recommendations provided in the Call Announcement and that it addresses the goals of the call.

✓ **Is the proposal eligible?** Ensure that you submit a complete proposal before the call deadline using the prescribed communication channel. Please check that your proposal complies with the budgetary ceilings set for each project type in the Call Announcement. Any proposal not meeting the eligibility requirements will be considered ineligible and will not be evaluated.

✓ **Is the proposal complete?** Proposals must comprise:

    ✓ Part A, containing the administrative information;

    ✓ Part B, containing the technical description of the proposal

A proposal that does not contain the two above-mentioned parts will be considered ineligible and will not be evaluated.

✓ **Does the proposal follow the required structure?** Proposals should be precise and concise (max. 15 pages for PART B for pilots' proposal and max. 15 pages for PART B for modules' proposal) and **must follow exactly the proposal structure prescribed in the Forms for Part A and in the template for Part B**, which are designed to correspond to the evaluation criteria that will be applied. Omitting requested information or not aligning with the proposed structure will almost certainly lead to lower scores and possible rejection.

✓ **Is the applicant using the correct Part A forms and Part B format and templates provided in this document?**

Proposal PART A Template

Instructions for completing Part A of the Proposal:

The Proposal is composed of two parts: Part A and Part B.

<div style="background-color:orange;">

Please note that all proposals must be submitted by sending it to _ecosystem@tagitsmart.eu_ before the deadline, according to the procedure described in this document. Please note that you need to send both proposal part A and part B in PDF format.

</div>

This Section provides the instructions and the proposal template to help you to structure Part A of your proposal aimed at providing the administrative details that will be used in the evaluation and further processing of your proposal. The applicant(s) must fill in the predefined forms, respecting the instructions provided in the forms themselves.

Please note that in case of proposals submitted by a group of institutions:

1. The main applicant fills in the front page;

2. The main applicant and all other participants already identified at the time of proposal submission   fill in the remaining pages;

HORIZON 2020
Information and Communication Technologies
Internet of Things and Platforms for Connected Smart Objects

Proposal Template

Grant Agreement number:	688061
Project acronym:	TagItSmart!
Project title:	Smart Tags driven service platform for enabling ecosystems of connected objects
Project website address:	http://tagitsmart.eu/
Start Date of the Project:	1 January 2016
Duration:	36 Months

Call Information:
Call Identifier: TagItSmart!02 call
Deadline: 1st of November 2017 – 17:00 (CET)

Organisation name:*(please insert)*
Coordinator name:*(please insert)*
Coordinator telephone number:*(please insert)*
Coordinator email address:*(please insert)*
Date of submission:*(please insert)*
Version:*(please insert)*

Email address to which the Acknowledgement of Receipt should be sent:
(please insert)

Proposal summary

Proposal Full Title: _____

Proposal Acronym: _____

Category of Project most relevant to your proposal

☐ Category A –New usecases (Pilots)

☐ Category B –New extensions (Modules)

Duration in months:_____

Proposal Abstract: (2000 characters limit):

_____

_____

_____

Free Keywords: (separated by commas) _____

Proposal participants

Single Applicant or Main Applicant organization (in case of projects involving a group of organizations)

YOUR ORGANIZATION	
Organization legal name:	
Organization short name:	
Official address (street name, number, town, postal code, country):	
Webpage (optional):	

LEGAL STATUS OF OUR ORGANIZATION *select the correct option(s)*	
Public body (yes/no):	
International organization (yes/no):	
International organization of European Interest (yes/no):	
Secondary or Higher education establishment (yes/no):	
Enterprise (yes/no):	
Small or Medium-size Enterprise (optional):	

DEPENDENCIES WITH (AN)OTHER APPLICANT(S)	
Are there dependencies between your organization and (an)other applicant(s) in this proposal? (yes/no)	
Participant Identification Code:	*(if yes)*
Organization short name:	*(if yes)*
Character of dependence (SG/CLS/CLB)*	*(if yes)*

SG: Same group: if your organization and the other applicant are controlled by the same third parties.
CLS: Controls: if your organization controls the other applicant.
CLB: Controlled by: if your organization is controlled by the other applicant.

ORGANIZATION CONTACT POINT
(it is the scientific contact person for your organization)

Title:	
Family name:	
First name:	
Gender:	
Position in the organization:	
Department, Faculty, Institute, Laboratory name:	
Email address:	
Telephone:	.
Fax (optional):	.
Official address (street name, number, town, postal code, country):	

ORGANIZATION AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE
(it is the authorized representative to sign the Grant Agreement or to commit the organization for this project)

Title:	.
Family name:	.
First name:	.
Gender:	.
Position in the organization:	
Department, Faculty, Institute, Laboratory name:	
Email address:	.
Telephone:	
Fax (optional):	.
Official address (street name, number, town, postal code, country):	.

Organization 2 (if any): proposer should complete the same fields as above
Organization 3 (if any): proposer should complete the same fields as above

Proposal PARTB Template

Instructions for completing Part B of the Proposal:

The Proposal is composed of two parts: Part A and Part B.

> **Please note that all proposals must be submitted by sending it to _ecosystem@tagitsmart.eu_ before the deadline, according to the procedure described in this document. Please note that you need to send both proposal part A and part B in PDF format.**

Proposal Part B contains the description of the content of the proposed work and covers, among others, the concept and objectives of the project, the implementation details and the impact that is expected to arise from the proposed work.

The instructions to complete Part B of the proposal are inserted along the template itself, explaining the expected content in each section using text in italics. You may eventually delete them from the final version of the Part B you intend to submit. Please keep the evaluation criteria always in mind and follow carefully the above-mentioned instructions when preparing Part B of the proposal.

It is in your interest to keep your text concise since over-long proposals are rarely viewed in a positive light by the evaluating experts. **Part B of the proposal should therefore not exceed a predefined number ofpages**:

- Category A – New usecases (Pilots)**15 pages**

- Category B – New extensions (Modules)**15 pages**

HORIZON 2020
Information and Communication Technologies
Internet of Things and Platforms for Connected Smart Objects

Proposal Template

Grant Agreement number:	688061
Project acronym:	TagItSmart!
Project title:	Smart Tags driven service platform for enabling ecosystems of connected objects
Project website address:	http://tagitsmart.eu/
Start Date of the Project:	1 January 2016
Duration:	36 Months

Call Information:

Call Identifier: TagItSmart!02 call

Deadline: 1st of November 2017 – 17:00 (CET)

Proposal full name: *(please insert)*

Proposal acronym: *(please insert)*

Organisation name: *(please insert)*

Coordinator name: *(please insert)*

Coordinator telephone number: *(please insert)*

Coordinator email address: *(please insert)*

Date of submission: *(please insert)*

Version: *(please insert)*

Email address to which the Acknowledgement of Receipt should be sent:

(please insert)

Proposal Abstract (max 2000 character)

This should be copied from Part A

Cost and funding breakdown

Participant Number	Participant short name	Estimated eligible costs*						
		Effort (PM)	Personnel Costs (€)	Other direct costs (€)	Indirect costs (€)	Total subcontracting costs (€)	Total costs(€)	Requested funding (€)
TOTAL								

*
In column 'Effort (PM)', insert the required person months for the work involved.
In column 'Personnel Costs (€)', insert your personnel costs for the work involved.
In column 'Other direct costs (€)', insert any other direct costs, for example material or travel costs.
In column 'Indirect costs (€)', insert your indirect (overhead) costs; 25 % of all your direct costs.
In column "Total subcontracting costs", insert any costs related to a subcontract you would enter into. Subcontracting costs should be exceptional, well justified and will require the prior written consent of the Cascade funding partner. Please note that you will have to ensure that the subcontractor will comply with all obligations, especially coming from the contract that you will sign with the Cascade funding partner, if successful. Please provide here sufficient justification on what and why you need to subcontract some of your tasks.
In column 'Total costs (€)', calculate the sum of all your indicated costs.
In column 'Requested funding (€)', insert your requested EC contribution. You may request up to the total amount allowed by the related open call. The maximum European Commission contribution for industrial experiments per applicant is:
- *Category A – New use cases (Pilots) 60K€*
- *Category B – New extensions (modules) 50K€*

1 Excellence

1.1 Alignment

Describe the general project vision highlighting the concepts underpinning the alignment with one or more of the supported activity categories.

RECOMMENDED 0.5 PAGE

1.2 Objectives

Describe the specific objectives for the proposal, which should be clear, measurable, realistic and achievable within the duration of the project. Objectives should be aligned and consistent with the definition of the TagItSmart! open call and with the general objectives of the ICT-30-2015 Call.

RECOMMENDED 0.5 PAGE

1.3 Concept and approach / Project Description

Describe and explain the overall concept underpinning the proposal.

Describe the main ideas, models or assumptions involved.

RECOMMENDED 1.0 PAGE

1.4 Ambition

Describe what advance your proposal would provide beyond the state-of-the-art, the current and envisioned status of TagItSmart! project and tools/platform and to what extent the proposed work is ambitious.

Describe the innovation potential, which the proposal represents. Where relevant, refer to solutions already available on the market. Please refer to the results of any patent search carried out.

RECOMMENDED 1.0 PAGE

2 Impact

2.1 Expected impact

Please related (where relevant) to the expected impacts of ICT-30-2015 RIA call and of the TagItSmart! proposal and category (A, B) your project relates (specified in the document Open Call Announcement).Describe how your project will contribute to:

- *The objectives of the present call;*
- *The overall spreading and expanding of the TagItSmart! ecosystem;*
- *Potential impact on industry, including the improvement of the innovation capacity.*
- *Be sure to check Call Announcement and TagItSmart! Website for any specific detail you could address here*

Please be specific, and provide only information that applies to the proposal and its objectives. Wherever possible, use quantified indicators and targets.

RECOMMENDED 1.5 PAGES

2.2 Dissemination and engagement activities

Describe the type of dissemination activities you envision.

RECOMMENDED 0.5 PAGES

3 Implementation

Applicants must provide credible evidence that the project delivery team have the necessary skills and management experience to be able to deliver the project in the timescales and budget specified.

Define a clear set of deliverables aligned with the objectives of the open call and the specific category to which the proposal relates.

Include a clear budget, detailing the overall project cost, the amount of funding requested and how it will be spent. This budget must represent good value for money in the opinion of the evaluation panel selected to evaluate the open call applications. Due to the scope and scale of proposals, management structure and cost should be kept at minimum.

3.1 Description of the work plan including the project duration

Please provide the following:

- *Brief presentation of the overall structure of the work plan with work package list; timing of the work (please consider the maximum suggested length for the Category of project category you selected)*
- *Detailed work description (use the template provided below; 1 table per WP)*
- *Please add a list of deliverables using the provided table.*

RECOMMENDED 3-5 PAGES

Work package number		Start Date or Starting Event		
Work package title				
Participant number				
Short name of participant				
Person/months per participant:				

Objectives

Description of work (where appropriate, broken down into tasks), lead partner and role of participants

Deliverables (brief description and month of delivery)

Table 3.1c: List of Deliverables[1]

Deliverable (number)	Deliverable name	Work package number	Short name of lead participant	Type	Dissemination level	Delivery date

Table 3.1b: List of work packages

Work package No	Work Package Title	Lead Participant No	Lead Participant Short Name	Person-Months	Start Month	End month
				Total months		

---

[1] *If your action taking part in the Pilot on Open Research Data, you must include a data management plan as a distinct deliverable within the first 6 months of the project. This deliverable will evolve during the lifetime of the project in order to present the status of the project's reflections on data management. A template for such a plan is availableon the Participant Portal (Guide on Data Management).*

3.2 Justification of Costs and Resources

Please provide a summary of required efforts per WP and per partners using the table below.

Please explain the role and expertise of partners and how they match the proposal envisioned activity and the WP of involvement

RECOMMENDED 0.5 PAGE

Table 3.4a: Summary of staff effort
Please indicate the number of person/months over the whole duration of the planned work, for each work package, for each participant. Identify the work-package leader for each WP by showing the relevant person-month figure in bold.

	WPn	*WPn+1*	*WPn+2*	*Total Person/ Months per Participant*
Participant number/Short name				
Participant number/Short name				
Participant number/Short name				
Total PM (Person/Months)				

3.3 Company description (Third party)

For each third party provide a brief description of the legal entity, the main tasks they have been attributed, and the previous experience relevant to those tasks. Provide also a short profile of the individuals who will be undertaking the work. In case of Type B, as only one institution is expected, it will be required to add details about this institution.

RECOMMENDED 1.0 PAGE

4 Ethical Issues

Please describe any ethical issues that may arise in the action, filling the following form.

	YES?	Page number
INFORMED CONSENT		
• Does the proposal involve children?		
• Does the proposal involve patients?		
• Does the proposal involve persons not able to give consent?		
• Does the proposal involve adult healthy volunteers?		
BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH		
• Does the proposal involve human genetic material?		
• Does the proposal involve human biological samples?		
• Does the proposal involve human biological data collection?		
• Does the proposal involve human embryos?		
• Does the proposal involve human foetal tissue or cells?		
• Does the proposal involve human embryonic stem cells?		
PRIVACY		
• Does the proposal involve processing of genetic information or personal data (e.g. health, sexual lifestyle, ethnicity, political opinion, religious or philosophical conviction)		
• Does the proposal involve tracking the location or observation of people without their knowledge?		
RESEARCH ON ANIMALS		
• Does the proposal involve research on animals?		
• Are those animals transgenic small laboratory animals?		
• Are those animals transgenic farm animals?		
• Are those animals cloned farm animals?		
• Are those animals non-human primates?		
RESEARCH INVOLVING THIRD COUNTRIES		
• Is any part of the research carried out in countries outside of the European Union and FP7 Associated states?		
DUAL USE		
• Does the research have direct military application?		
• Does the research have the potential for terrorist abuse?		
ICT Implants		
• Does the proposal involve clinical trials of ICT implants?		
(IF NONE) I CONFIRM THAT NONE OF THE ABOVE ISSUES APPLY TO MY PROPOSAL		

HORIZON 2020
Information and Communication Technologies
Internet of Things and Platforms for Connected Smart Objects

Evaluation Form

Grant Agreement number:	688061
Project acronym:	TagItSmart!
Project title:	Smart Tags driven service platform for enabling ecosystems of connected objects
Project website address:	http://tagitsmart.eu/
Start Date of the Project:	1 January 2016
Duration:	36 Months

Scoring

Scores must be in the range 0-5. Half marks may be given. Evaluators will be asked to score proposals as they were submitted, rather than on their potential if certain changes were to be made. When an evaluator identifies significant shortcomings, he or she must reflect this by awarding a lower score for the criterion concerned.

Interpretation of the scores

0 - The proposal **fails to address the criterion** or cannot be assessed due to missing or incompleteinformation.

1 - **Poor**. The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.

2 - **Fair**. The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.

3- **Good**. The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.

4- **Very Good**. The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.

5- **Excellent**. The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.

1   Evaluation

Individual evaluation / Consensus (delete as appropriate)

Proposal n°:	Acronym:
Type of activity:	

1. *FEASIBILITY* *To what extent does it enrich the TagItSmart ecosystem?* *How effectively will be the IE managed?* *Can it be done in time, with the proposed partners and deliver results?*	**Score 1:** *(Threshold 3/5, weight 1)*
2. **EXTENDIBILITY** *To what extent does it enrich the TagItSmart ecosystem?* *If it is in a similar application domain of the existing use cases or modules, does it offer substantial additional features?*	**Score 2:** *(Threshold 3/5, weight 1)*
3. **INNOVATION, IMPACT AND BUSINESS MODEL** *Does the proposal include a commitment to the commercialization of the technology?* *To what extent has the proposal the potential to address future/wider challenges in the area.* *Marketability.* *To what extent is there an impact through scientific and domain specific specialized domain specific magazines, standard bodies, alliances.*	**Score 3:** *(Threshold 4.5/7.5, weight 1.5)*
Total score (1+2+3) *Threshold 11,5/17,5*	

Does this proposal contain ethical issues that may need further attention?	NO ☐	YES ☐

I declare that, to the best of my knowledge, I have no direct or indirect conflict of interest in the evaluation of this proposal

Name:	
Signature:	
Date:	

Name:	
Signature:	
Date:	